## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Marion Perri
DOCKET NO.: 04-23642.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-08-403-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marion Perri, the appellant, by attorney Edward P. Larkin of Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 50-year-old, one-story, single-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 1,732 square feet of living area and located in Lyons Township, Cook County. Features of the home include two and one-half bathrooms, a partial-unfinished basement, a fireplace and a two-car detached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The appellant also submitted a twopage brief, a photograph of the subject, property characteristic printouts for the subject and the suggested comparables as well as a copy of the board of review's decision. Based on the appellant's documents, the three suggested comparables consist of one-story, single-family dwellings of frame or masonry construction. Two comparables are located within one block of the subject but the three suggested comparables are located outside the subject's neighborhood code. The improvements range in size from 1,132 to 1,392 square feet of living area and range in age from 55 to 56 years. The comparables contain one or two bathrooms and a one-car or two-car garage. The improvement assessments range from \$4.66 to \$5.83 per square foot of living

## (Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{no\ change}$  in the assessment of the property as established by the  $\underline{Cook}$  County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 16,738 IMPR.: \$ 11,262 TOTAL: \$ 28,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/rfd5753

area. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$28,000. The subject's improvement assessment is \$11,262 or \$6.50 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with one-story, singlefamily dwellings of frame construction located within four blocks The improvements range in size from 1,242 to of the subject. 1,479 square feet of living area and range in age from 49 to 55 years. The comparables contain one or one and one-half bathrooms and a one-car or two-car garage. The improvement assessments range from \$7.83 to \$9.22 per square foot of living area. the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney submitted a one-page letter indicating that the board's comparables are located as far away as four blocks from the subject and much smaller in living area.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

Both parties submitted a total of seven properties similar to the subject in age and design but with variations in living area, amenities and/or exterior construction. These seven properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$4.66 to \$9.22 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot \$6.50 improvement assessment of falls within the established by these properties. The Board finds the seven comparables offered by the parties inferior overall to the subject in size, amenities and exterior construction. considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the evidence submitted by both parties does not support a change in the subject's current assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law  $(735 \, \text{LCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$  and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A  $\overline{\text{PETITION}}$  AND  $\overline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$  WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.